

About composition of Toeplitz operators in Segal-Bargmann Space.

Ramirez Romina,^a Marcela Sanmartino^b

^a*romina@mate.unlp.edu.ar*

^b*mmasanmartino@gmail.com*

*Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad
Nacional de La Plata, La Plata, Argentina*

Abstract

Some positive results about the composition of Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space are presented. A Wick symbol satisfying that it is not possible to construct its associated Toeplitz operator is given.

Key words: Toeplitz operators

1 Introduction

Toeplitz operators have been object of study in different disciplines. In physics, these operators (also called anti Wick operators) were introduced by Berezin as a quantization rule in quantum mechanics (see [2], [3], [4]). In partial differential equations, Toeplitz operators and their adjoints, play an important role in extending known results in the space of entire functions to the context of Segal Bargmann spaces([7], [6]), [15], [16] among others). Also, they have been extensively studied as an important mathematical tool in signal analysis ([9], [10], [12]).

One of the problems still open is to know how to define the class of the symbols where the composition of Toeplitz operators is closed. In section 4, we give a positive result for radial symbols.

Many authors have studied this problem. In [1], [9], [10] and [17], the composition of Toeplitz operators was shown to be written as a Toeplitz operator plus a controllable remainder term, and in [8] some exact results we can be found.

2 An overview of Toeplitz operators.

Let $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$ be the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{C}^n$, with the Gaussian measure $d\mu(z) = \pi^{-n} e^{-|z|^2} dv(z)$ where $dv(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

In this work we deal with the *Segal-Bargmann* space $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$ of all the analytic functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. This space is a particular case of a Fock space.

For more details and the motivation of this section, see the Appendix.

Let $P : L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu) \rightarrow F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the orthogonal projection operator on the direction of the coherent state $K_w(z) = e^{z \cdot \bar{w}}$

$$Pg(z) = \langle g, K_z \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}} g(w) e^{z \cdot \bar{w}} d\mu(w) \quad (1)$$

where $\langle ., . \rangle$ is the usual inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$.

Now, for a complex function $\varphi(z, \bar{z})$, we define the operator T_φ on $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\varphi f(z) &:= \int \varphi(w, \bar{w}) e^{z\bar{w}} f(w) d\mu(w) \\
&= P[\varphi f](z) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Then, the domain of this operator is

$$Dom(T_\varphi) = \{f \text{ such that } \varphi f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)\},$$

and $T_\varphi : Dom(T_\varphi) \rightarrow F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$

Definition 1

If for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $K_z \in Dom(T_\varphi)$ we say that T_φ is a Toeplitz operator (or anti Wick operator) with anti Wick symbol $\sigma^{AW}(T_\varphi) = \varphi$.

Remark 2

If $|\varphi(z)| \leq Ce^{\delta r^2}$ for $\delta < 1/2$, then T_φ is a Toeplitz operator (for details see [11]).

Related with the definition of an operator A by means of its *anti Wick symbol*, we can give an other representation of it by means of the *Wick symbol*: $\sigma^W(A)$, given by

$$Af(z) = \int e^{(z-v)\bar{v}} f(v) \sigma^W(A)(\bar{v}, z) dv(v) \tag{3}$$

where

$$\sigma^W(A)(\bar{v}, z) = \frac{\langle AK_v, K_z \rangle}{\langle K_v, K_z \rangle}. \tag{4}$$

Remark 3

For all $f \in F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have that

$$f(v) = \langle f, K_v \rangle.$$

Is in this sense that the coherent states are reproducing kernels of $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. And then we can write

$$\sigma^W(A)(\bar{v}, z) = \frac{\langle AK_v, K_z \rangle}{\langle K_v, K_z \rangle} = \frac{AK_v(z)}{K_v(z)}.$$

Now, taking into account that the norm of an operator can be bounded by its anti Wick and Wick symbols:

$$\|\sigma^W(A)\|_\infty \leq \|A\| \leq \|\sigma^{AW}(A)\|_\infty,$$

we have that

$$\frac{|AK_v(z)|}{|K_v(z)|} \leq \|A\|. \quad (5)$$

This relation between coherent states and Wick symbols will be needed in the proof of the main result.

The correspondence between Wick or anti Wick symbols and operators can be interpreted like a quantization process. Is in this context that it is necessary to have a bilinear operation $*$ between the symbols, such that if $\tau = \varphi * \psi$ then $T_\tau = T_\varphi T_\psi$. This bilinear operation is completely defined for Wick symbols obtaining a closed symbolic calculus. But the problem for anti Wick symbols -as we have said in the Introduction- is still open.

3 Some known results about composition of Toeplitz Operators.

Among all the known positive results in the composition of Toeplitz operators on $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have the following : If φ and ψ are anti Wick symbols in one of these classes

- Analytic functions ([5]) or
- Functions in the smooth Bochner algebra $B_a(\mathbb{C}^n)$ ([8]) or
- Polynomial functions ([8])

then $T_\varphi T_\psi = T_\tau = T_{\varphi \diamond \psi}$, where

$$\varphi \diamond \psi = \sum_k \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} (\partial^k \varphi) (\bar{\partial}^k \psi). \quad (6)$$

But unfortunately it is not possible to have this product in other cases. In [8] we can find an example that shows the limitation on the ability to compose Toeplitz operators taking the one dimensional Segal-Bargmann space $F^2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\varphi = e^{2\lambda|z|^2}$ with $\lambda = \frac{1+2i}{5}$. Although $T_\varphi T_\varphi$ exists and, moreover, is a bounded operator, there is not a symbol τ such that $T_\varphi T_\varphi = T_\tau$ on $F^2(\mathbb{C})$.

In the next section, we will give a rather general result encompassing this example, and a positive result about the composition of Toeplitz operators with radial symbols in $F^2(\mathbb{C})$.

The theory about Toeplitz operators becomes interesting when the symbols are radials, because these operators are unitary equivalent to multiplication operators. More accurately, given a radial symbol $a(|z|) = a(r)$, the Toeplitz

operator T_a is unitary equivalent to the multiplication operator $\gamma_a I$ acting on l_2^+ where

$$\gamma_a(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} a(\sqrt{r}) r^n e^{-r} dr = \frac{2}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} a(r) r^{2n+1} e^{-r^2} dr, \quad (7)$$

i.e, there exist unitary operators $R : L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\mu) \rightarrow l_2^+$ and $R^* : l_2^+ \rightarrow F^2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$RT_a R^* \{c_n\} = \{\gamma_a(n) \cdot c_n\} \quad (8)$$

for all sequence $\{c_n\} \in l_2^+$, where

$$R\varphi = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \varphi(z) \bar{z}^n d\mu(z) \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \quad (9)$$

and

$$R^* \{c_n\} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{n!}} z^n.$$

Note that $RR^* = I : l_2^+ \rightarrow l_2^+$ and $R^*R = P : L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\mu) \rightarrow F^2(\mathbb{C})$, where P is the projection defined in (1).

Remark 4

In order to guarantee the convergence of the integral (7) it is considered, for example, $a(r)$ belonging to $L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$. This space consists of all measurable functions $a(r)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} |a(r)| e^{-r^2} r^n dr < \infty \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

Now, given a sequence $\gamma = \{\gamma(n)\} \in l_\infty$ there exists a symbol $a(r) \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ such that the operator T_a is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator by the sequence γ , i.e $\gamma(n) = \gamma_a(n)$.

Remark 5

For $a(r) \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ we have that

- T_a is a bounded operator if and only if $\{\gamma_a(n)\}$ is a bounded sequence.
- If T_a is bounded, then its spectrum is given by

$$sp(T_a) = \overline{\{\gamma_a(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}}.$$

The details of these results for radial symbols can be founded in [13].

4 Main result.

Let us define $L_2^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$, the linear space of all measurable functions $f(r)$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$q_f(n) := \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |f(r)|^2 e^{-r^2} r^{n+1} dr < \infty$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. For this functions we define

$$A_f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n q_f(n)}{n!}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem 6

Let T_φ and T_ψ be two Toeplitz operators with radial symbols $\varphi(r), \psi(r) \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ such that

- (1) T_ψ is a bounded Toeplitz operator,
- (2) $\{\gamma_\varphi(n) \cdot \gamma_\psi(n)\} \in l_\infty$,
- (3) φ belongs to $L_2^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ and $A_\varphi(x)$ converges for all $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

then there exists $\tau \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ such that $T_\tau = T_\varphi T_\psi$ is a Toeplitz operator.

Proof: As we have mentioned in the previous section, if $\{\gamma_\varphi(n) \cdot \gamma_\psi(n)\} \in l_\infty$ there exists $\tau \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ such that $\{\gamma_\tau(n)\} = \{\gamma_\varphi(n) \cdot \gamma_\psi(n)\}$.

Now, we have that:

- (1) $T_\tau = T_\varphi T_\psi$ in the domain of $T_\varphi T_\psi$
- (2) $T_\tau = T_\varphi T_\psi$ is indeed a Toeplitz operator.

(1) Let us take $f(z) \in \text{Dom}(T_\varphi T_\psi) \subset F^2(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = R^* \{c_n\},$$

where $\{c_n\} = \{a_n \sqrt{n!}\} \in l_2^+$ and R^* is the operator given by (9).

From the definition of Toeplitz operator through the projection $P = R^* R$ given in (2) and by (8) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
T_\varphi T_\psi f &= T_\varphi P(\psi f) \\
&= T_\varphi R^*(R\psi R^*)\{c_n\} \\
&= T_\varphi R^*\{\gamma_\psi(n).c_n\} \\
&= R^*R\varphi R^*\{\gamma_\psi(n).c_n\} \\
&= R^*\{\gamma_\varphi(n).\gamma_\psi(n).c_n\} =: R^*\{\gamma_\tau(n).c_n\} \\
&= R^*(RT_\tau R^*)\{c_n\} := T_\tau f
\end{aligned}$$

(2) By the definition of Toeplitz operators, we must see that all the coherent states belong to the domain of T_τ , i.e $K_a(z) = e^{z\bar{a}} \in \text{Dom}(T_\tau)$.

Obviously $K_a(z) \in \text{Dom}(T_\psi)$ since T_ψ is a Toeplitz operator. Now, it remains to prove that $\varphi T_\psi K_a(z) \in L^2(\mathbb{C}, d\mu)$. By the estimate (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\varphi(z) T_\psi K_a(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dv(z) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\varphi(z)|^2 \|T_\psi\|^2 |K_a(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dv(z) \\
&\leq \|T_\psi\|^2 \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\varphi|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2|a||z|)^n}{n!} e^{-|z|^2} dv(z).
\end{aligned}$$

Using polar coordinates, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_\psi\|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|2a|^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\varphi|^2 |z|^n e^{-|z|^2} dv(z) &= 2\pi \|T_\psi\|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|2a|^n}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |\varphi|^2 r^{n+1} e^{-r^2} dr \\
&= 2\pi \|T_\psi\|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|2a|^n}{n!} q_\varphi(n) \\
&= 2\pi \|T_\psi\|^2 A_\varphi(2|a|) < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

since $\|T_\psi\|^2$ is bounded and $A_\varphi(2|a|)$ exists for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $K_a \in \text{Dom}(T_\varphi T_\psi) \subset \text{Dom}(T_\tau)$, and therefore T_τ is indeed a Toeplitz operator.

□

Remark 7

Smooth Bochner Algebra. For radial symbols φ and ψ in the Bochner algebra $B_a(\mathbb{C})$, we know that $T_\varphi T_\psi = T_{\varphi \diamond \psi}$ with $\varphi \diamond \psi$ given by (6) and $T_{\varphi \diamond \psi}$ is a Toeplitz operator. On the other hand, it is possible to apply the Theorem 6, and it can be proved that the symbol τ so obtained satisfies $\tau = \varphi \diamond \psi$. We give the proof of these facts in Section 5.

Remark 8

As we have mentioned in Section I, there exist results about the composition of

Toeplitz operators for analytic symbols and polynomial symbols. The first case is not contemplated by this theorem, because radial symbols cannot be analytic (except for the constants where the theorem is trivial).

For polynomial radial symbols the situation is quite different: When we calculate its sequence $\{\gamma(n)\}$ we have that it is unbounded, then we cannot apply the Theorem 6. Nevertheless the composition can be made using formula (6).

4.1 About the composition obstruction.

Theorem 9

Let A be a bounded operator with domain in the Fock space $F^2(\mathbb{C})$, such that its Wick symbol is $\sigma^W(A) = e^{-\theta|z|^2}$, with $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then

- (1) If $|\theta|^2 = 2\operatorname{Re}(\theta)$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\theta) > 1$, there is no bounded Toeplitz operator T_τ such that $A = T_\tau$.
- (2) If $|\theta|^2 > 2\operatorname{Re}(\theta)$, there is no bounded Toeplitz operator T_τ with $\tau \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ such that $A = T_\tau$.

Proof:

- (1) Let us take the operator A such that $\sigma^W(A) = e^{-\theta|z|^2}$. Then by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} Af(z) &= \int e^{(z-\xi)\bar{\xi}} f(v) \sigma^W(A)(z, \bar{\xi}) dv(\xi) \\ &= \int e^{(z-\xi)\bar{\xi}} e^{-\theta(z\bar{\xi})^2} f(v) dv(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

If we define $e_n(z) := \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!}}$, $\{e_n(z), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a basis of $F^2(\mathbb{C})$ and

$$Ae_n(z) = (1 - \theta)^{n+1} e_n(z).$$

On the other hand, if we consider the operator $\mathcal{M}_a f(z) = af(az)$, we note that

$$Ae_n(z) = (1 - \theta)^{n+1} e_n(z) = \mathcal{M}_a e_n(z)$$

where $a = 1 - \theta$. Then the operators A and $\mathcal{M}_a$ agree in the basis and consequently in all the Fock space.

By the hypothesis on θ , we have that $|a| = |1 - \theta| = \sqrt{1 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\theta) + |\theta|^2} = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(a) = 1 - \operatorname{Re}(\theta) < 0$. By the results in [8], there is no bounded Toeplitz operator T_τ such that $A = T_\tau$ in all the Fock space.

(2) Suppose that there exists $\tau \in L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ such that T_τ is a bounded Toeplitz operator and $T_\tau = A$.

The Wick symbol of T_τ can be calculated by

$$\sigma^W(T_\tau) = e^{-|z|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2n}}{n!} \gamma_\tau(n) \quad (10)$$

where $\gamma_\tau(n)$ is defined by (7) (see [13] for more details).

On the other hand

$$e^{-\theta|z|^2} = e^{-|z|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2n}}{n!} (1 - \theta)^n. \quad (11)$$

Then, taking into account that

$$\sigma^W(T_\tau) = e^{-\theta|z|^2}$$

we have that

$$|\gamma_\tau(n)| = |(1 - \theta)^n| = (1 - 2\operatorname{Re}(\theta) + |\theta|^2)^{\frac{n}{2}} \geq (1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Then $\gamma_\tau(n)$ is an unbounded sequence. This contradicts that the operator can be bounded as it was stated in Remark 5.

□

Remark 10

This theorem includes the example mentioned in Section 3 given in [8]:

If $\varphi = e^{2(\frac{1+2i}{5})|z|^2}$,

$$\gamma_\varphi(n) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} e^{2(\frac{1+2i}{5})r} r^n e^{-r} dr = \left(\frac{3}{5} - \frac{4}{5}i\right)^{-(n+1)},$$

and then $\{\gamma_\varphi(n)\} \in l_\infty$ ($|\gamma_\varphi(n)| = 1$). The Wick symbol of $T_\varphi T_\varphi$ can be calculated by (10),

$$\sigma^W(T_\varphi T_\varphi)(z, \bar{z}) = e^{-|z|^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^{2n}}{n!} \gamma_\varphi(n) \gamma_\varphi(n) = C e^{-K|z|^2}$$

with $C = \left(\frac{3}{5} - \frac{4}{5}i\right)^{-2}$ and $K = \left(\frac{32}{25} + \frac{24}{25}i\right)$. Then $|K|^2 = 2\operatorname{Re}(K) = \frac{64}{25}$ and by (1) in Theorem 9, there is not a bounded Toeplitz operator with this symbol, and as $T_\varphi T_\varphi$ is a bounded operator, it cannot be a Toeplitz operator on $F^2(\mathbb{C})$.

5 Smooth Bochner Algebra.

Consider $\varphi(|z|) = \varphi(r)$, $\psi(|z|) = \psi(r)$ radial functions in the Bochner algebra $B_a(\mathbb{C})$. The functions in $B_a(\mathbb{C})$ are bounded, uniformly continuous, with bounded derivatives of all orders. Therefore, by the hypothesis on φ and ψ we can apply the Theorem 6 and then, there exists τ such that $T_\tau = T_\varphi T_\psi$ is a Toeplitz operator in the Fock space.

As we have mentioned in Section 3, we know that $T_\varphi T_\psi = T_{\varphi \diamond \psi}$ with $\varphi \diamond \psi$ given by (6) and $T_{\varphi \diamond \psi}$ is a Toeplitz operator.

Then we have on $F^2(\mathbb{C})$ that $T_\tau = T_{\varphi \diamond \psi}$. The question is if $\tau = \varphi \diamond \psi$ since for Toeplitz operators, is not always true that if $T_\eta = 0$ then $\eta = 0$.

However, we can prove that if the anti Wick symbol η of T_η belongs to $E^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ (a subclass of $L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$), then the operator $T_\eta = 0$ if and only if $\eta = 0$ almost everywhere (for details see [13]).

The space $E^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ is the subclass of $L_1^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$ that consists of all functions $\eta(r)$ satisfying at $+\infty$ the following estimate: fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$|\eta(r)|e^{-r^2+\varepsilon r} \leq C.$$

Proposition 11

Given φ and ψ two radial functions in the Bochner algebra $B_a(\mathbb{C})$. Then

- (1) *φ and ψ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 6 and*
- (2) *the function given by Theorem 6 satisfies $\tau = \varphi \diamond \psi$.*

Proof: We must prove that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\tau - \varphi \diamond \psi \in E^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$.

$\tau \in E^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty |\tau(r)|e^{-r^2+\varepsilon r} dr &\leq \left(\int_1^\infty |\tau(r)|^2 r e^{-r^2} dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty \frac{e^{-r^2} e^{2\varepsilon r}}{r} dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\int_1^\infty |\tau(r)|^2 r e^{-r^2} dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_1^\infty e^{-r^2} e^{2\varepsilon r} dr \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily seen that the second integral in the product converges for all ε .

Also, note that $1 \in F^2(\mathbb{C})$ and T_τ is bounded, then $1 \in \text{Dom}(T_\tau)$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |\tau \cdot 1|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dv(z) = (2\pi) \int_0^\infty |\tau(r)|^2 r e^{-r^2} dr < \infty.$$

Then the first integral converge.

Therefore $\int_1^\infty |\tau(r)| e^{-r^2+\varepsilon r} dr$ converge and then $|\tau(r)| e^{-r^2+\varepsilon r}$ is bounded in $+\infty$.

Taking into account that $\varphi \diamond \psi$ is bounded, then $\eta(r) := \tau(r) - \varphi \diamond \psi(r) \in E^\varepsilon(\mathbb{R}_+, e^{-r^2})$.

□

6 Appendix.

A **Fock space** F is a Hilbert space in which there exist two operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{a}^*$ called annihilation and creation operators, satisfying the canonical commutation rules, i.e $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^*] = I$, where I is the identity operator. There exists also a vector Φ_0 (called *vacuum vector*) annihilated by the annihilations operators, such that the system

$$\left\{ \frac{((\hat{a}^*)^\alpha \Phi_0)}{\sqrt{\alpha!}} \right\}$$

is complete and orthonormal in F .

Classical examples of Fock spaces are:

- $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$: The Hilbert space of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, with the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
- $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$: the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$ of all the analytic functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Here $d\mu(z) = \pi^{-n} e^{-|z|^2} dv(z)$ is the Gaussian measure, where $dv(z)$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

In the next table, we show the annihilation and creation operators, the vacuum vector and the basis of each space.

	$L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$	$F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$
Creation operator $\hat{a}^*$	$\frac{\hat{q}-i\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\hat{a}^* f(z) = z.f(z)$
Annihilation operator $\hat{a}$	$\frac{\hat{q}+i\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2}}$	$\hat{a} f(z) = \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z}$
Vacuum vector	$\Phi_0(x) = \pi^{-n/4} e^{-x^2/2}$	$\Phi_0(z) = 1$
Basis	Hermite functions	$\{z^\alpha / \sqrt{\alpha!}\}$

There is a unitary isomorphism that apply a basis of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ onto a basis of $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$. This map is called the *Bargmann transform* and is given by

$$L\varphi(\bar{z}) = (\pi h)^{-(n/4)} \int e^{-\frac{1}{2}(s^2 - 2\sqrt{2}s.\bar{z} + \bar{z}^2)} \varphi(s) ds .$$

This transform allows us to interpret an operator in $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$ as a pseudodifferential operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The coherent states (or Poisson vectors) are the functions $K_v(z) = e^{z\bar{v}} \in F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$, indeed they are the eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ associated to the eigenvalue $\bar{v}$. By the Bargmann transform, we can obtain that $f(v) = (f, K_v)$ for all $f \in F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$. In this sense, K_v is a reproductive Kernel and consequently $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$ is a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS).

The Wick and anti Wick operators appear naturally in the context of quantization procedures, the correspondence between operators and symbols is related with the association between a quantum and a classical observable. There are different ways to do it consistent with the probabilistic interpretation by mean of pseudodifferential operators.

In $F^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$, taking into account that the composition of the annihilation and construction operator is not commutative, we consider the operator theory associated to the product order $\hat{a}\hat{a}^*$ (*Wick*), or $\hat{a}^*\hat{a}$ (*Anti Wick*).

In $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Weyl calculus gives the suitable frame in quantization procedures.

All these symbols are related by the kernel of the heat H_t in the following way, where $\{H_t\}_{t>0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is defined by $H_t f = f * \gamma_t$ with $\gamma_t(x, \xi) = (4t)^{-n} e^{-(x^2 + \xi^2)/4t}$.

$$\sigma_w(A) = H_{1/2}(\sigma^{AW}(A)) , \sigma^W(A) = H_{1/2}(\sigma_w(A)) , \sigma^W(A) = H_1(\sigma^{AW}(A)). \quad (12)$$

(See for instance [4] or [11].)

The Weyl and Wick symbols of a given pseudodifferential operator are always

defined. By (12) we can see that **not all** these operators have an anti Wick symbol, since in order to find an anti Wick symbol one has to solve -for example- the inverse heat equation with initial condition given by Weyl symbol for the time $t = 1/2$.

Nevertheless, the symbol anti Wick have two advantages that the other symbols do not verify at the same time:

- (1) **Positivity:** If $\varphi \geq 0$ then $T_\varphi f \geq 0$ for all f in the domain of T_φ .
- (2) **Selfadjointness:** If φ is a function to real values then T_φ is selfadjoint.

References

- [1] H. Ando and Y. Morimoto. *Wick calculus and the Cauchy problem for some dispersive equations*. Osaka J. Math., 39(1): 123-147, 2002.
- [2] F. A. Berezin, *Covariant and contravariant symbols of operators*, Math. USSR Izv. **6** (1972).
- [3] F. A. Berezin, *Quantization*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat, **38** (1974), 1116-1174. Math. USSR Izv, **8** (1974), 1109-1165.
- [4] A Berezin, M. A Shubin *The Schrodinger equation* Moscow State University, (1983), Moscu.
- [5] A. Brown, P.R Halmos, *Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators*. J Rein angew math 213: 89-102,1963.
- [6] D. Cichon, H. S. Shapiro, Toeplitz operators in Segal-Bargmann spaces of vector-valued functions, *Mathematica scandinavica*, Vol. 93, N 2, 2003 , pages. 275-296
- [7] D. Cichon and J. Stochel, On Toeplitz operators in Segal-Bargmann spaces, *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.* 34 (1997), 35-43. MR 98d:47061
- [8] L.A Coburn *On the Berezin -Toeplitz Calculus* the Proceedings of the AMS 129 (2001) pp. 3331-3338.
- [9] E. Cordero and K. Grochenig. *Symbolic calculus and Fredholm property for localization operators*. Preprint, 2005.
- [10] E. Cordero and L. Rodino. *Wick calculus: a time-frequency approach*. Osaka J. Math., 42(1): 43-63, 2005.
- [11] G. Folland *Harmonic analysis in phase space*. Annals of Mathematics Studies number 122, Princenton University press 1989.
- [12] K. Grochenig *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. 360 pp., Birkhauser, Boston, 2001.

- [13] S. Grudsky, N. Vasilevski, *Toeplitz operators on the Fock space: Radial component effects*. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, v. 44, no. 1, 2002, p. 10-37
- [14] J. Janas and J. Stochel, Unbounded Toeplitz operators in the Segal-Bargmann space, II, J. Funct. Anal. 126 (1994), 418-447. MR 95m:47040
- [15] Janas, J., Rudol, K.: Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space of infinitely many variables, Linear operators in function spaces (Timișoara, 1988), Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., 43, 217-228, Birkhäuser
- [16] J. Janas and J. Stochel, Unbounded Toeplitz operators in the Segal-Bargmann space, II, J. Funct. Anal. 126 (1994), 418-447. MR 95m:47040
- [17] N. Lerner. *The Wick calculus of pseudo-differential operators and energy estimates*. In New trends in microlocal analysis (Tokyo, 1995), pages 23-37. Springer, Tokyo, 1997.